Contents available at: Sri Lanka Journals Online

Tropical Agricultural Research



Journal Home Page: https://tar.sljol.info

# RESEARCH

# Impact of Mild Water Stress During the Flowering Stage on Leaf Functional Traits and Yield of Selected Cowpea Varieties Grown in The Low Country Dry Zone of Sri Lanka

I. Wijayaraja<sup>1,2</sup>, M. Piyarathne<sup>1,3</sup>, L.K. Weerasinghe<sup>2,4</sup>, M.A.P.W.K. Malaviarachchi<sup>5</sup>, D. P. Kumarathunge<sup>6</sup>, U. Devasinghe<sup>1</sup>, S. Rathnayake<sup>7</sup> and N. Geekiyanage<sup>1,3\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Plant Sciences, Faculty of Agriculture, Rajarata University of Sri Lanka, Anuradhapura 50000, Sri Lanka <sup>2</sup>Postgraduate Institute of Agriculture, University of Peradeniya, Old Galaha Road, Peradeniya 20400, Sri Lanka <sup>3</sup>Postgraduate Programme, Faculty of Agriculture, Rajarata University of Sri Lanka, Anuradhapura 50000, Sri Lanka <sup>4</sup>Department of Crop Science, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Peradeniya, Peradeniya 20400, Sri Lanka <sup>5</sup>Field Crop Research and Development Institute, Department of Agriculture, Mahailuppallama, Sri Lanka <sup>6</sup>Department of Agricultural Biology, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Ruhuna, Mapalana, Sri Lanka <sup>7</sup>Department of Agriculture Engineering and Soil Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Rajarata University of Sri Lanka, Anuradhapura 50000, Sri Lanka

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received: 25 July 2022 Revised version received: 22 August 2023 Accepted: 13 September 2023 Available online: 1 October 2023

#### Keywords:

Leaf functional traits Mild water stress Cowpea yield Leaf gas exchange traits Leaf hydraulic traits

#### **Citation:**

Wijayaraja, Piyarathne, I... М., Weerasinghe, L.K., Malaviarachchi, M.A.P.W.K., Kumarathunge, D.P., Devasinghe, U., Rathnayake, S. and Geekiyanage, N. (2023). Impact of mild water stress during the flowering stage on leaf functional traits and yield of selected cowpea varieties grown in the low country dry zone of Sri Lanka. Tropical Agricultural Research, 34(4): 331-342. DOI:

https://doi.org/10.4038/tar.v34i4.8673

#### Wijayaraja, I. 몓

https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0323-4078

#### ABSTRACT

Cowpea [Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp.] is an important legume growing in tropical regions. Cowpea is grown in the Dry Zone of Sri Lanka as an inter-season crop. Rising temperatures and unpredictable precipitation patterns are major factors contributing to soil moisture stress in tropical agriculture. Despite the short life cycle, it is highly likely that cowpea experiences mild soil moisture stress (around 70% of field capacity) conditions at flowering stage due to enhanced evapotranspiration in response to increasing air temperature. In this study, five cowpea varieties were subjected to two soil moisture conditions; field capacity and mild water stress, at the onset of flowering under a split-plot design for two consecutive inter-seasons with the objectives to determine the leaf gas exchange and hydraulic traits of cowpea exposed to soil moisture stress and the underlying relationships between yield reduction and leaf gas exchange, hydraulic, and agronomic traits. The yield reduction (p<0.05) in the five varieties tested was associated with a reduction in leaf net assimilation rate, number of pods/plant, and number of seeds/pod. Variety-dependent reductions in leaf functional traits in many varieties leading to a reduction in yield parameters (p<0.05) were obvious under mild water stress conditions. Despite the water stress variety, Waruni performed well in both moisture conditions. As conclusion, cowpea varieties for inter-season cultivation should be selected based on ability to maintain yield under mild water stress conditions. A special emphasis should be placed on commencing cultivation as soon as the main crop is harvested to better utilize the residual moisture.

\*Corresponding author- nalaka.geekiyanage@agri.rjt.ac.lk

# INTRODUCTION

Cowpea [Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp.] holds significant importance as one of the vital legumes cultivated in tropical and subtropical regions worldwide (Tan et al., 2012; Carvalho et al., 2017; Singh et al., 2007). This crop thrives in tropical areas owing to its remarkable nitrogen-fixing ability (Carvalho et al., 2017), high yield, and nutrient-rich composition, including highprotein. Additionally, cowpea quality exhibits remarkable adaptability to abiotic stresses like drought and high temperatures (Ehlers et al., 1997). These invaluable features collectively position cowpea as a key crop in tackling climate change and addressing food insecurity (Carvalho et al., 2019). However, cowpea's reliance on rainfed conditions in many cultivation areas exposes them to the uncertainties of changing precipitation patterns (Anyia et al., 2013). Consequently, cowpea-based cropping systems under rain-fed conditions are more prone to mild water stress, which ultimately affects the overall crop performance.

Rising global temperatures and unpredictable precipitation patterns are major factors contributing to soil moisture stress in numerous tropical agricultural systems worldwide (Golldack et al., 2014). Legume crops are extensively cultivated in rain-fed regions, where the increasing frequency and intensity of droughts pose significant challenges to maintaining high crop productivity (Nadeem et al., 2019; Varaprasad, 2005). Soil moisture stress, particularly during crucial growth stages like flowering and grain filling, profoundly affects crop yields (Siddique et al., 2001). The occurrence of drought events, with varying frequency and severity, leads to reduced grain yield, plant biomass, and overall yield components in legumes (Delmer et al., 2005; Pushpavalli et al., 2015).

In cowpea cultivation, the most critical stages susceptible to water stress conditions are just prior to and during bloom (Pejic *et al.*, 2013), the flowering and fruiting stages (Carvalho *et al.*, 2000), and the seed-filling stage (Cordeiro *et al.*, 1998). Since cowpea

has a relatively short growth cycle of around 60 days, there is a potential risk of mild water stress occurring under rain-fed conditions during the flowering stage, which typically takes place approximately 30 days after planting. Such water stress can lead to a decrease in the overall yield, which is closely linked to changes in leaf gas exchange rates. In particular, water stress in cowpea results in reduced transpiration, stomatal conductance, and photosynthesis (Anyia et al., 2003; Han, 2016). Several cowpea varieties are especially vulnerable to water stress during floral development (Dadson et al., 2005; Uarrota, 2010). The reduced leaf gas exchange and photosynthesis under water stress further diminish the availability of carbohydrates for pod filling (Uarrota, 2010). Given that the final seed yield in cowpea is determined by the number of pods per plant, the number of seeds per pod, and the hundred-seed weight, any water stress conditions can dramatically reduce all these yield components (Ahmed et al., 2010).

In line with other tropical and sub-tropical Lanka, the average regions in Sri temperature is steadily increasing at a rate of 0.01–0.03°C per year (Fernando and Chandrapala, 1995; Nissanka et al., 2011; Premalal and Punyawardena, 2013). Future rainfall estimates for Sri Lanka predict that dry areas will become even drier, while wet and intermediate zones may become wetter than their current state due to altered monsoonal patterns, including shifts in onset dates and higher variability in distribution (Mambe et al., 2012 and Premalal and Punyawardena., 2013). Cowpea is typically cultivated in the dry zone of Sri Lanka as an inter-season crop between the two major rice growing seasons: *Yala* and *Maha*, relying on rain-fed conditions. To better understand the impact of mild water stress on cowpea yield and physiological performance, it is essential to determine the relationship between these factors. In this study, we investigated this relationship by examining the yield reduction and leaf gas exchange traits of five commonly grown cowpea varieties over two consecutive inter-seasons with the objectives; (1) to determine the leaf gas exchange and hydraulic traits of cowpea exposed to soil moisture stress in the dry underlying relationships between yield reduction and leaf gas exchange traits, with hydraulic, and other agronomic traits under moisture stress. soil For that. we hypothesized that mild water stress would (i) reduce yield parameters by limiting gas exchange rates, (ii) increase carbon loss per unit carbon gain due to decreased rates of net assimilation and increased leaf respiration rates, and (iii) lead to reductions in yield components, ultimately resulting in overall yield reductions associated with increased carbon loss per unit carbon gain.

## METHODOLOGY

## Study site

The experiment was carried out under a rain shelter with transparent roofing at the Field Crop Research and Development Institute (FCRDI) in Mahailuppallama, Sri Lanka (8.1117, 80.4669). The institute is situated in the low country dry zone within the agroecological region DL1b, where the average annual rainfall is 1,094 mm, and the mean annual temperature ranges from 23.3 to 32.1°C. This region experiences a distinct rainless period lasting for 8 to 9 months. The soils in this area consist of Reddish Brown Earth (RBE) on the upper slopes of the catena and Low Humic Gley (LHG) in the valleys. Meteorological data during the experiment were obtained from the Meteorological Station at FCRDI, Mahailuppallama.

# **Experimental design**

A field experiment was conducted to assess the performance of five commonly grown cowpea varieties (Waruni, Dhawala, MI-35, Bombay, and ANKCP 1) in Sri Lanka, under two watering conditions. The experiment followed a split-plot design with three replicates per treatment. The main plot factor involved two watering conditions: wellwatered (WW) up to field capacity (FC) and mild water-stressed (WS) down to 70% of FC. Meanwhile, the cowpea varieties were used as the subplot factor.

Initially, all plots were managed at FC until the onset of flowering. Subsequently, half of the plots were subjected to mild water stress, maintaining the moisture level at 70% of FC, while the other half continued to be kept at FC (refer to Fig 1 for illustration). To monitor the moisture levels accurately, moisture sensors and a data logger (ZL6, Meter Environment, USA) were utilized. Whenever necessary, water was supplied through an irrigation system to maintain the required moisture levels in the plots.

This study was repeated twice during two consecutive inter-seasons with a fallow period in between. The first season spanned from August 2020 to October 2020, while the second season took place from February 2021 to April 2021, and both seasons followed the same treatment structure.

## Crop establishment and management

In the first season, the land underwent ploughing to a depth of 25-30 cm using a tine tiller, followed by harrowing with a rotavator to achieve a loose and friable bed. To prevent water seepage between plots, drains were dug to a depth of 1.5 m around all the plots, and double-layer polyethylene sheets were buried along both sides of the drain wall. A drip irrigation system was established to provide water coverage for the root zone of all cowpea plants. Additionally, the soil was sterilized using a water-dissolved fungicide (Captan).

The selected cowpea varieties' seeds were sourced from certified seed lots of FCRDI. Mahaillupallama. Seeding was carried out with two seeds per hole, spaced at 30 cm × 15 cm. Before sowing, the seeds were treated with a fungicide (4 g Captan per 1 kg of seeds). Fertilizers were applied based on the recommendations of the Department of Agriculture, consisting of a basal dose of 35:100:75 kg/ha of urea, triple superphosphate, and muriate of potash. At the onset of flowering, a top dressing of 30 kg/ha of urea was applied. Manual weeding was performed during the 3rd, 5th, and 6th weeks after planting.

To protect the crop from fungi, Folicur Tebuconazole fungicide 250g/L EW was sprayed 7 days after sowing. Additionally, after 32 days of sowing, Imidacloprid was applied to control Aulacophora. For the treatment of *Sclerotium rolfsii* fungi, Homai (Thiophanate-methyl 50% (w/w) + Thiram 30% (w/w) WP) was used. Folicur Tebuconazole 250g/L EW was sprayed on the crop for protection from fungi 7 days after sowing. After 32 days of sowing. Imidacloprid was applied to control Aulacophora.



Figure 1: a (i) - Three climate zones and the districts of Sri Lanka. Source: Warnasekara *et al.*, 2002 and a (ii) - Study location, FCRDI, Mahaillupallama. b - Variation in matric potential over time after treatments were imposed on the experimental site: well-watered (WW) and mild water-stressed (WS). 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> indicated in the figure denote the first and second seasons respectively. The average matric water potential values of - 30 kPa (field capacity) and -50 kPa (70% of field capacity) were maintained in well-watered and mild water-stressed conditions, respectively

# Measurements

Physiological data: Net photosynthetic rate average  $(A_{\rm sat})$ under an ambient photosynthetic photon flux density of 1000  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> was measured at ambient CO<sub>2</sub> concentration and the average growth temperature of 32°C. Leaf dark respiration rate  $(R_{\rm D})$  was measured by turning off the light source after dark and adapting the leaves for 30 minutes. The  $R_D$ /gross photosynthetic rate  $-(A_g)$  was calculated as the ratio between  $R_{\rm D}$  and the gross photosynthetic rate ( $A_g = A_{sat} + R_D$ ). All physiological measurements were made at the 50% flowering stage in 12 cowpea plants (representing three replicate plots) of a variety using the LICOR-6400 XT infrared gas analyser (Li 6400 XT, LiCOR Bioscience, USA). All these measurements were taken from recently matured fully expanded healthy leaves between 9.00 a.m. and 1.00 p.m. Water use efficiency (WUE) and stomatal conductance  $(g_s)$  were also obtained from the infrared gas analyser.

Agronomic data: Seed yield and yield components (number of pods per plant, number of seeds per pod, hundred seed weight at harvesting and at storing moisture level of 12%, and total grain yield) were recorded at the harvesting stage. Using a randomly placed quadrate, the number of plants per square meter was counted. Then those plants were used to estimate the number of pods per plant and the number of plant. Using seeds per these vield components, the total grain yield was calculated in kilograms per hectare. The moisture level of the seeds was measured using a seed moisture meter (GMK - 303RS, G-won Hitech Co., Ltd, South Korea). The fresh weight and dry weight of leaves were measured after oven drying leaves for 48 hours at 60°C to a constant weight. Then the leaf dry matter content (LDMC) was calculated as the ratio of leaf dry mass to hydrated leaf fresh mass, while leaf mass per area (LMA) was calculated as the ratio between leaf dry weight (g) and leaf area (m-<sup>2</sup>).

# Data analysis

All statistical analyses were conducted in R software version 1.1.453 (RStudio Team, 2016). Linear mixed effect models (Imer function) were fitted using the Ime4 package to determine whether water treatments affected the tested parameters. The mean separation was done using the glht function of the Multcomp package for Tukey's range test. The Mann-Whitey U test was used to analyse count data.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

# Impact of mild water stress on leaf functional traits of cowpea varieties

A mild water deficit, occurring below 70% of field capacity (FC), resulted in a significant (P<0.05) decline in the net photosynthesis rates of all five cowpea varieties in both interseasons (Figs. 2a and b). The leaf dark respiration rate also significantly (P<0.05) decreased in the MI35 variety during both seasons under mild water stress, whereas the other varieties did not show a significant change (P=0.05) (Figs. 2c and d). This lack of significant change in the rest of the varieties may be attributed to variations in their tolerance to water stress.

The ratio of carbon loss per unit carbon gain  $(R_{\rm D}/A_{\rm g})$  demonstrated a significant increment (P<0.05) in MI-35 and ANKCP 1 during both seasons under mild water stress (Figs. 2e and f). In contrast, the Waruni and Bombay varieties exhibited significantly (P<0.05) lower carbon loss per unit carbon gain in both seasons under mild water stress conditions. Dhawala, on the other hand, showed marked variation in its response to moisture stress between the two seasons, with only a significant reduction observed in the second season. Furthermore, the water use efficiency (WUE) decreased when the soil water potential was maintained around -51 kPa. The moisture stress treatment led to a 28% decrease in mean water use efficiency, resulting in a 24% decrease in yield across all varieties (Figs. 4g and h).

Photosynthesis is closely related to plant responses and adaptations to biotic and abiotic stresses, with stress conditions leading to changes in photosynthesis rates (Anyia et al., 2003). In this study, the decrease rate of photosynthesis in the was accompanied by stomatal closure (r= 0.6, p< 0.05) (Figs 2a and b). The mean decline in net photosynthesis (38%) was similar to the mean reduction in the conductance of the stomata to  $CO_2$  (38%). Further, drought stress induces partial stomatal closure in leaves, regulating photosynthesis and transpiration rates and, consequently, water use efficiency (Farquhar *et al.*, 1989, Yoo *et al.*, 2009, Tankari *et al.*, 2019). Similarly, cowpea experiences reduced photosynthesis and stomatal conductance (gs) under drought stress conditions (Han *et al.*, 2016, Anyia *et al.*, 2003). The mild water stress in this study led to a reduction in the physiological activities responsible for carbon gain, resulting in decreased leaf biomass, plant growth, and productivity (Table 1).







Figure 3: Leaf physiological traits of five cowpea varieties grown under well-watered conditions or field capacity (WW) and mild water stress or 70% of field capacity) in Mahailuppallama, Sri Lanka. The panels are (a) and (b): the ratio between leaf dry weight (g) and leaf area (m<sup>-2</sup>) (LMA)in two growing seasons; and (c) and (d): the ratio of leaf dry mass to fresh mass (DMC) in two growing seasons. The whiskers in the bars are the standard errors of the means. Different lower-case letters indicate significant differences in the experimental factor at p < 0.05 confidence interval according to the Tukey's range test.

Season	Varietal yield (kg ha-1)				Total yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )		
	Variety	Well- watered	Mild water stress	% decline	Well- watered	Mild water stress	% decline
	ANKCP 1	1399±32	1022±44	22			
	Bombay	1202±50	929±26	30			
1	Dhawala	1404±20	1252±37	11	1382±36	1072±37	22
	MI-35	1428±22	1121±41	23			
	Waruni	1476±51	1033±5	27			
	ANKCP 1	1444±20	1302±63	26			
2	Bombay	984±107	612±49	20			
	Dhawala	1438±16	823±26	43	1321±45	968±54	26
	MI-35	1318±52	970±79	10			
	Waruni	1420±17	1131±50	38			

Table 1: Total yield of five cowpea varieties under two water treatments in two seasons and yield reduction under the water stress conditions in Mahailuppallama, Sri Lanka



Figure 4: Yield and yield parameters of five cowpea varieties grown under well-watered conditions or field capacity (WW) and mild water stress (70% of field capacity) in Mahailuppallama, Sri Lanka. The panels are (a) and (b): the number of pods per plant in two growing seasons, (c) and (d): the number of seeds per pod in two growing seasons, (e) and (f): the hundred seed weight in two growing seasons, and (g) and (h): total yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) in two growing seasons. The whiskers in the bars are the standard error of the means. Different letters indicate significant differences in the experimental factor at p < 0.05 according to the Tukey's range test and Mann-Whitey U test for the count data.

Under mild water stress conditions, the dry matter content (DMC) of ANKCP 1 significantly (P < 0.05) increased in the first season (Fig. 3a), while DMC and leaf mass per area (LMA) significantly (P< 0.05) decreased in the second season (Figs. 3b and d). Among the other varieties, only Bombay showed a substantial change in leaf DMC in the second season. Furthermore, except for ANKCP 1 and Bombay, there were no substantial changes in LMA in the second season, indicating minimal structural changes in leaf architecture under mild water stress conditions (Andrea et al., 2005).

# Impact of mild water stress on yield parameters of selected cowpea varieties

Mild water stress had a significant (P < 0.05) impact on the number of pods per plant in three varieties, namely MI-35, Waruni, and Bombay, during the first season. Additionally, during the second season, mild water stress significantly reduced the number of pods per plant in MI-35, Waruni, Dhawala, and ANKCP 1 (Figs 4a and b, respectively). The number of seeds per pod was significantly reduced (P<0.05) in all varieties except for MI-35 during the first season, and in all varieties during the second season (Figs 4c and d, respectively). Meanwhile, the weight of hundred seeds remained unchanged in MI-35 during both seasons, but it increased in Dhawala and ANKCP 1 during the first season, as well as in Waruni and Bombay during the second season under mild water stress. However, no clear pattern related to changes in hundred seed weight was evident among varieties and between seasons (Figs 4e and f). Moreover, the total yield showed a significant reduction (P<0.05) in all five cowpea varieties during both seasons under mild water stress. When averaged across varieties, the total yield decreased from 1,382±36 kg/ha to 1,072±37 kg/ha (22%) during the first season (Figure 4g) and from 1,321±45 kg/ha to 968±54 kg/ha (26%) during the second season (Figure 4h) under mild water stress conditions. Overall, when averaged across seasons, a 24% reduction in total yield was observed in response to mild water stress (Table 1).

Under the conditions of mild water stress, there was an increase in dry matter allocation to the seeds in Dhawala and ANKCP 1 during the first season, and in Waruni and Bombay during the second season, as evidenced by the increase in hundred seed weight (Figs. 4e and f). Despite this, an overall reduction in yield was observed in all the tested varieties due to a significant (P<0.05) reduction in the number of seeds per pod under mild water stress conditions. Among the tested varieties, the highest yield reduction (42%) was evident in Dhawala during the second season (Fig 4h).

Cowpea is particularly susceptible to moisture stress, especially during the flowering and pod-filling stages (Aboamera, 2010; Dasila et al., 2016). Thus, the reduction in total yield can be attributed to the combined effect of the reduced rate of leaf net photosynthesis parameters, and yield including a reduced number of pods per plant and a reduced number of seeds per pod under mild water stress.

# CONCLUSIONS

The yield reduction observed in all five tested varieties was associated with a decrease in leaf net assimilation rate, as well as a reduction in the number of pods per plant and the number of seeds per pod. Despite an increase in leaf mass per area (LMA) and dry matter content (DMC) in Waruni and ANKCP 1, the reduction in yield parameters due to mild water stress was evident and varied among the different varieties under the dry zone conditions in Sri Lanka. Therefore, when selecting cowpea varieties for inter-season cultivation, their yield potential under water stress conditions should be considered.

Among the five cowpea varieties studied, the variety Waruni demonstrated a better performance in terms of yield under both moisture conditions. Additionally, to maximize the efficient use of residual moisture in cowpea fields, cultivation should commence promptly after the main crop is harvested. This approach can help make the most of available water resources and optimize cowpea productivity in regions prone to water stress.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors acknowledge the support received from Field Crop Research and Development Institute, Mahaillupallama, University of Peradeniya, and Rajarata University of Sri Lanka. Ms. Thilakshi Alahakoon, Ms. Nisansala Nugaliyadda, field and laboratory staff in the Faculty of Agriculture, Rajarata University of Sri Lanka and Field Crop Research and Development Institute, Mahaillupallama provided technical support.

## FUNDING

This research was supported by the Accelerating Higher Education Expansion and Development (AHEAD) Operation of the Ministry of Higher Education funded by the World Bank.

## REFERENCES

- Aboamera, M. A. (2010). Response of cowpea to water deficit under semi-portable sprinkler irrigation system. Misr Journal of Agricultural Engineering, 27(1), 170-190. DOI:10.21608/mjae.2010.107154
- Ahmed, F.E., & Suliman, A.H. (2010). Effect of water stress applied at different stages of growth on seed yield and water-use efficiency of cowpea. Agriculture and Biology Journal of North America, 1, 534-540.
- Andrea N., & Sebastiano S. (2005). Water stress-induced modifications of leaf hydraulic architecture in sunflower: coordination with gas exchange. Journal of Experimental Botany, 56(422), December 2005, 3093–3101. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/jxb/eri306
- Anyia, A., Herzog, H. (2003). Water-use efficiency, leaf area and leaf gas exchange of cowpeas under mid-season drought. European Journal of Agronomy, 20, 327-339. 10.1016/S1161-0301(03)00038-8
- Carvalho, J De A., Pereira, G.M., De Andrade, M.J.B., Roque, M.W. (2000). Effect of Water Deficit On Cowpea (Vigna Unguiculata (L.) Walp.) Yield. Ciencia Agrotecnologia, 24:710-717.

- Carvalho M., Lino-Neto T., Rosa E., & Carnide V. (2017). Cowpea: a legume crop for a challenging environment. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 97(13). DOI:10.1002/jsfa.8250
- Carvalho M., Castro I., Moutinho-Pereira J., Correia C., Egea-Cortines M., Matos M., Rosa E., Carnide V., & Lino-Neto T. (2019). Evaluating stress responses in cowpea under drought stress. Journal of Plant Physiology, 241. doi.org/10.1016/ j.jplph.2019.153001
- Cordeiro, L.G., Bezerra, F.M.L., Dos Santos, J.J.A., De Miranda, E.P.( 1998).Cowpea (Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp.) crop yield coefficient under water stress. Revista Brasileira de Engenharia Agricola e Ambiental, 2:153-157.
- Dadson R., Hashem F., Javaid I., Joshi J., Allen
  A., & DevineT. (2005). Effect of water stress on the yield of cowpea (Vigna unguiculata L. Walp.) genotypes in the Delmarva Region of the United States. Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science, 191. 210 217. 10.1111/j.1439-037X.2005.00155. x.
- Dasila B., Singh V., Kushwaha H.S., Srivastava, A., & Ram, Shri. (2016). Water use efficiency and yield of cowpea and nutrient loss in lysimeter experiment under varying water table depth, irrigation schedules and irrigation method. SAARC Journal of Agriculture, 14. 46-55. 10.3329/sja. v14i2.31244.
- Delmer D.P. (2005) Agriculture in the developing world: Connecting innovations in plant research to downstream applications (2005). Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA, 102(44). doi: 10.1073/pnas.0505895102
- Ehlers, J. D., & Hall A. E. (1997). Cowpea (Vignaunguiculata L. Walp.). Field Crops Research, 53, 187–204, doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4290(97)00031-2
- Fernando TK., & Chandrapala L. (1995) Climate variability in Sri Lanka – a study of trends of air temperature, rainfall and thunder activity. Proceedings of the International Symposium on Climate and Life in Asia-Pacific, Brunei.
- Farquhar G. D., Ehleringer J. R., & Hubick K. T. (1989). Carbon Isotope Discrimination and Photosynthesis. Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology,

1989 40:1, 503-537. doi.org/10.1146/annurev.pp.40.060189.0 02443

- Golldack D., Li C., Mohan H., & Probst N. (2014). Tolerance to drought and salt stress in plants: Unraveling the signaling networks. Frontiers in Plant Science, 2014;5:151. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2014.00151.
- Han J.M., Meng H.F., Wang S.Y., Jiang C.D., Liu
  F., Zhang W.F., & Zhang Y.L. (2016).
  Variability of mesophyll conductance and its relationship with water use efficiency in cotton leaves under drought pretreatment. Journal of Plant Physiology .194, 61–71.

doi.org/10.1016/j.jplph.2016.03.014.

- Marambe B., Ranjith P., Silva P., Premalal S., Ra V., Kekulandala B., Nidumolu U., & Howden M. (2015) Climate, climate risk, and food security in Sri Lanka: The need for strengthening adaptation strategies. In: Leal Filho W. (eds). Handbook of Climate Change Adaptation. 1-25. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-38670-1\_120
- Nadeem M., Li J., Yahya M., Sher A., Ma C., WangX., & Qiu L. (2019). Research progress and perspective on drought stress in legumes: A review. International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 20(10). 2541.

https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms20102541

- Nissanka SP., Punyawardena B.V.R., Premalal K.H.M.S., &Thattil R.O. (2011) Recent trends in annual and growing seasons' rainfall of Sri Lanka. In: Proceedings of the International Conference on the Impact of Climate Change in Agriculture. Faculty of Agriculture, University of Ruhuna, pp 249– 263
- Pejic, B., Mačkić, K., MIKIĆ, A., ĆUPINA, B., Peksen, E., Krstic, D., Antanasovi, S. (2013).
  Effect of water stress on the yield of cowpea (Vigna unguiculata L. Walp.) in temperate climatic conditions. Journal of Contemporary Agriculture. 62. 168-176.
- Peksen, E. (2007). Yield performance of cowpea (Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp.) cultivars under rainfed and irrigated conditions. International Journal of Agricultural Research. 2. 391-396. 10.3923/ijar.2007.391.396

- Premalal K.H.M.S., & Punyawardena B.V.R. (2013) Occurrence of extreme climatic events in Sri Lanka. Proceedings of the International Conference on Climate Change Impacts and Adaptations for Food and Environment Security. Hotel Renuka, Colombo, pp 49–57
- Pushpavalli R., Zaman-allah M., Turner N.C., Baddam R., Rao M.V., & Vadez V. (2015). Higher flower and seed number leads to higher yield under water stress conditions imposed during reproduction in chickpea (2015). Functional Plant Biology. 2015; 42:162–174. doi: 10.1071/FP14135.
- Santos, C.A.F., De Araujo, F.P., Menezes, E.A. (2000). Yield Performance of Cowpea Genotypes Under Irrigated And Rainfed Conditions In Petrolina And Juazeiro, Brazil. Pesq.Agropec. Bras., 35:2229-2234, 2000
- Siddique K.H.M., Regan K.L., Tennant D., & Thomson B.D. (2001) Water use and water use efficiency of cool season grain legumes in low rainfall Mediterranean-type environments. European Journal of Agronomy. 2001: 15:267-280. doi: 10.1016/S1161-0301(01)00106-X.
- Singh, B. B., Ajeigbe, H. (2007) Improved Cowpea-Cereals-Based Cropping Systems for Household Food Security and Poverty Reduction in West Africa, Journal of Crop Improvement, 19:1-2, 157-172, DOI: 10.1300/J411v19n01\_08
- Tan H., Tie M., Luo Q., Zhu Y., Lai J., & Li H.
  (2012) . A review of molecular makers applied in cowpea (Vigna unguiculata L.
  Walp.) Breeding. Journal of Life Sciences. 2012;6, 1190–9.
- Tankari M., Wang C., Zhang X., Li L., Soothar R.
  K., Ma H., Xing H., Yan C., Zhang Y., Liu F., &
  Wang Y. (2019). Leaf gas exchange, plant
  water relations and water use efficiency of
  Vigna Unguiculata L. Walp. inoculated with
  rhizobia under different soil water
  regimes. Water. 11(3):498.
  https://doi.org/10.3390/w11030498
- Uarrota V.G. (2010). Response of cowpea (Vigna unguiculata L. Walp.) to water stress and phosphorus fertilization. Journal of Agronomy, 9: 87-91. 10.3923/ja.2010.87.91
- Varaprasad, P. V., Allen Jr., L. H., Boote, K. J. (2005) Crop Responses to Elevated Carbon Dioxide and Interaction with Temperature,

Journal of Crop Improvement 13:1-2,113-155, DOI: 10.1300/J411v13n01\_07

- Wang Y., Liu F., Andersen M.N., & Jensen C.R. (2010). Improved plant nitrogen nutrition contributes to higher water use efficiency in tomatoes under alternate partial rootzone irrigation (2010). Functional Plant Biology. 2010, 37, 175–182. DOI:10.1071/FP09181
- Warnasekara J, Agampodi S, Nr A..(2022) .SARIMA and ARDL models for predicting leptospirosis in Anuradhapura district Sri Lanka.PLoS One .13;17(10):e0275447. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0275447. PMID: 36227833; PMCID: PMC9562162.
- Yoo C. Y., Pence H.E., Hasegawa P. M., & Mickelbart M. V. (2009). Regulation of transpiration to improve crop water use. Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences, 28:6, 410-431, DOI: 10.1080/07352680903173175